7 ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This material is based upon work supported by the National Science Foundation under grant number 1917885. Any opinions, findings, and conclusions or recommendations expressed in this material are those of the author(s) and do not necessarily reflect the views of the National Science Foundation.

REFERENCES

- Mohammed Ibrahim Alhojailan. 2012. Thematic analysis: A critical review of its process and evaluation. West East Journal of Social Sciences 1, 1 (2012), 39–47.
- [2] Carl Alphonce and Blake Martin. 2005. Green: a customizable UML class diagram plug-in for Eclipse. In Companion to the 20th annual ACM SIGPLAN conference on Object-oriented programming, systems, languages, and applications. 108–109.
- [3] Adam Burke, Cristi Shanahan, and Eka Herlambang. 2014. An exploratory study comparing goal-oriented mental imagery with daily to-do lists: Supporting college student success. Current Psychology 33, 1 (2014), 20–34.
- [4] Dan Garcia, Brian Harvey, and Tiffany Barnes. 2015. The beauty and joy of computing. ACM Inroads 6, 4 (2015), 71–79.
- [5] Jamie Gorson and Eleanor O'Rourke. 2020. Why do CS1 Students Think They're Bad at Programming? Investigating Self-efficacy and Self-assessments at Three Universities. In Proceedings of the 2020 ACM Conference on International Computing Education Research. 170–181.
- [6] A Gwande. 2010. The checklist manifesto. New York: Picadur (2010).
- [7] Wei Jin and Albert Corbett. 2011. Effectiveness of cognitive apprenticeship learning (CAL) and cognitive tutors (CT) for problem solving using fundamental programming concepts. In Proceedings of the 42nd ACM technical symposium on Computer science education. 305–310.
- [8] Wei Jin, Albert Corbett, Will Lloyd, Lewis Baumstark, and Christine Rolka. 2014. Evaluation of guided-planning and assisted-coding with task relevant dynamic hinting. In *International Conference on Intelligent Tutoring Systems*. Springer, 318–328.
- [9] Hieke Keuning, Bastiaan Heeren, and Johan Jeuring. 2017. Code quality issues in student programs. In Proceedings of the 2017 ACM Conference on Innovation and Technology in Computer Science Education. 110–115.
- [10] Amy J. Ko, Robin Abraham, Laura Beckwith, Alan Blackwell, Margaret Burnett, Martin Erwig, Chris Scaffidi, Joseph Lawrance, Henry Lieberman, Brad Myers, et al. 2011. The state of the art in end-user software engineering. ACM Computing Surveys (CSUR) 43, 3 (2011), 1-44.
- [11] Michael Kölling. 2010. The greenfoot programming environment. ACM Transactions on Computing Education (TOCE) 10, 4 (2010), 1–21.
- [12] Kyungbin Kwon. 2017. Novice programmer's misconception of programming reflected on problem-solving plans. *International Journal of Computer Science Education in Schools* 1, 4 (2017), 14–24.
- [13] Essi Lahtinen, Kirsti Ala-Mutka, and Hannu-Matti Järvinen. 2005. A study of the difficulties of novice programmers. Acm sigcse bulletin 37, 3 (2005), 14–18.
- [14] Alex Lishinski, Aman Yadav, Richard Enbody, and Jon Good. 2016. The influence of problem solving abilities on students' performance on different assessment tasks in CS1. In Proceedings of the 47th ACM technical symposium on computing science education. 329–334.

- [15] Andrew Luxton-Reilly, Ibrahim Albluwi, Brett A Becker, Michail Giannakos, Amruth N Kumar, Linda Ott, James Paterson, Michael James Scott, Judy Sheard, and Claudia Szabo. 2018. Introductory programming: a systematic literature review. In Proceedings Companion of the 23rd Annual ACM Conference on Innovation and Technology in Computer Science Education. 55–106.
- [16] Moira Maguire and Brid Delahunt. 2017. Doing a thematic analysis: A practical, step-by-step guide for learning and teaching scholars. All Ireland Journal of Higher Education 9, 3 (2017).
- [17] Ralph Morelli, C Uche, P Lake, and L Baldwin. 2015. Analyzing Year One of a CS Principles PD Project. In Proceedings of the ACM Technical Symposium on Computer Science Education. 368–373. http://dl.acm.org/citation.cfm?id=2677265
- [18] Sally H Moritz, Fang Wei, Shahida M Parvez, and Glenn D Blank. 2005. From objects-first to design-first with multimedia and intelligent tutoring. ACM SIGCSE Bulletin 37, 3 (2005), 99–103.
- [19] Kylie A. Peppler and Yasmin B. Kafai. 2007. What Videogame Making Can Teach Us about Literacy and Learning: Alternative Pathways into Participatory Culture.. In Proceedings of the Digital Games Research Association Conference. http://eric.ed.gov/?id=ED521155
- [20] Beatriz Pérez and Ángel L Rubio. 2020. A project-based learning approach for enhancing learning skills and motivation in software engineering. In Proceedings of the 51st ACM Technical Symposium on Computer Science Education. 309–315.
- [21] Thomas W Price, Joseph Jay Williams, Jaemarie Solyst, and Samiha Marwan. 2020. Engaging Students with Instructor Solutions in Online Programming Homework. In Proceedings of the 2020 CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems. 1–7.
- [22] Keith Quille and Susan Bergin. 2018. Programming: predicting student success early in CS1. a re-validation and replication study. In Proceedings of the 23rd Annual ACM Conference on Innovation and Technology in Computer Science Education. 15–20.
- [23] Alexander Repenning, Ryan Grover, Kris Gutierrez, Nadia Repenning, David C. Webb, Kyu Han Koh, Hilarie Nickerson, Susan B. Miller, Catharine Brand, Ian Her Many Horses, Ashok Basawapatna, and Fred Gluck. 2015. Scalable Game Design. ACM Transactions on Computing Education 15, 2 (apr 2015), 1–31. https://doi.org/10.1145/2700517
- [24] Lucy Suchman. 1987. Plans and Situation Actions: The Problem of Human Machine Communication. (1987).
- [25] John Sweller, Jeroen JG Van Merrienboer, and Fred GWC Paas. 1998. Cognitive architecture and instructional design. *Educational psychology review* 10, 3 (1998), 251–296.
- [26] Jakita O Thomas, Yolanda Rankin, Rachelle Minor, and Li Sun. 2017. Exploring the difficulties African-American middle school girls face enacting computational algorithmic thinking over three years while designing games for social change. Computer Supported Cooperative Work (CSCW) 26, 4-6 (2017), 389–421.
- [27] Elizabeth T Turner. 2012. Meeting learners' needs through project-based learning. International Journal of Adult Vocational Education and Technology (IJAVET) 3, 4 (2012), 24–34.
- [28] Ian Utting, Stephen Cooper, and Michael Kölling. 2010. Alice, Greenfoot, and Scratch – A Discussion. ACM Transactions on Computing Education 10, 4 (2010). http://dl.acm.org/citation.cfm?id=1868364
- [29] Wengran Wang, Rui Zhi, Alexandra Milliken, Nicholas Lytle, and Thomas W. Price. 2020. Crescendo: Engaging Students to Self-Paced Programming Practices. In Proceedings of the 51st ACM Technical Symposium on Computer Science Education (Portland, OR, USA) (SIGCSE '20). Association for Computing Machinery, New York, NY, USA, 859-865. https://doi.org/10.1145/3328778.3366919